1	Jeremy S. Golden (SBN 228007)	08 MAY 21 PM 3: 29							
2	Law Offices of Eric F. Fagan								
3	2300 Boswell Rd., Suite 211 Chula Vista, CA 91914	SOUTHERN DISTR	ISTRICT CONET ICT OF CALIFORN A						
4	jeremy@efaganlaw.com	8 Y: A							
5	Phone: 619-656-6656; Fax: 775-898-5471 Attorney for Plaintiff	J	DEPUT Y						
6	Attorney for Fiantiff								
7	•								
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT								
9	SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA								
10			'08 CV 0905 JM CA	ιB					
11	ASIF A. SIAL, an individual.	Civil Case No.							
12	Plaintiff,)	COMPLAINT	AND DEMAND						
13	v.)		RIAL (Unlawful						
14	UNIFUND CCR PARTNERS; and)	Debt Collection	i Practices)						
15	DOES 1 through 10 inclusive;	·							
16	Defendants.								
17)								
18									
19		•							
20	COMPLAINT								
21	I. INTRODUCTION								
22	1. This is an action for damages brought by an individual consumer against								
23	Defendants for violations of the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15 U.S.C. §1692, et seq.								
24	("FDCPA") and the California Rosenthal Act, Civil Code §1788 et seq. ("Rosenthal Act")								
25	both of which prohibit debt collectors from engaging in abusive, deceptive, and unfair								
26	practices.								
27	//		·						
28	· ·								
	1								
	COMPLAINT AND DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL (UNLAWFUL DEBT COLLECTION PRACTICES)								

3

5

7 8

10

9

12

13

11

14 15

1617

18 19

2021

22

2324

25

2627

28

|| //

II. JURISDICTION AND VENUE

2. Jurisdiction of this Court arises under 15 U.S.C. §1692k(d) and 28 U.S.C. §1337. Supplemental jurisdiction exists for the state law claims pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1367. Declaratory relief is available pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 2201 and 2202. Venue in this District is proper in that the Defendants transact business here and the conduct complained of occurred here.

III. PARTIES

- 3. Plaintiff ASIF A. SIAL is a natural person residing in California.
- 4. Defendant UNIFUND, CCR PARTNERS ("UNIFUND") is a company doing business in California of collecting consumer debts owed to another, with its principal place of business located at 10625 Techwoods Circle, Cincinnati, OH 45242.
- 5. Defendants are all engaged in the collection of debts from consumers using the mail and telephone and regularly attempt to collect consumer debts alleged to be due to another. Defendants are "debt collectors" as defined by the FDCPA, 15 U.S.C.§1692a(6), and by the Rosenthal Act, California Civil Code 1788.2(c).
- 6. The true names and capacities, whether individual, corporate (including officers and directors thereof), associate or otherwise of Defendants sued herein as DOES 1 through 10, inclusive, are unknown to Plaintiff, who therefore sues these Defendants by such fictitious names. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and alleges that each Defendant designated as a DOE is involved in or is in some manner responsible as a principal, beneficiary, agent, co-conspirator, joint venturer, alter ego, third party beneficiary, or otherwise, for the agreements, transactions, events and/or acts hereinafter described, and thereby proximately caused injuries and damages to Plaintiff. Plaintiff requests that when the true names and capacities of these DOE Defendants are ascertained, they may be inserted in all subsequent proceedings, and that this action may proceed against them under their true names.

- 6 7

- 12
- 14
- 16

- 24
- 25 26
- 27
- 28

- Plaintiff is a "consumer" as defined by the FDCPA, 15 U.S.C.§1692a(3). 7.
- 8. The purported debt that Defendants attempted to collect from Plaintiff was a "debt" as defined by the FDCPA, 15 U.S.C.§1692a(5).
- Plaintiff is a "debtor" as defined by the Rosenthal Act, California Civil Code 9. §1788.2(h).
- 10. The purported debt which Defendants attempted to collect from Plaintiff was a "consumer debt" as defined by the Rosenthal Act, California Civil Code §1788.2(f).

IV. FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

- The FDCPA was enacted to "eliminate abusive debt collection practices by debt 11. collectors, to insure those debt collectors who refrain from using abusive debt collection practices are not competitively disadvantaged, and to promote consistent state action to protect consumers against debt collection abuses."
- 12. At a time unknown, UNIFUND acquired information regarding two alleged debts (the "DEBTS") on two credit cards in Plaintiff's name.
- On June 1, 2007, Defendants filed suit in the Superior Court of California, 13. County of San Diego, Case No. 37-2007-00059285-CL-CL-EC (the ACTION) to collect the DEBTS.
- In the ACTION, Defendants alleged that Plaintiff owed a total principal amount 14. of \$5,200.27; \$3,194.22 was allegedly for a credit card originating with "First Card Conv," and \$2,006.05 was allegedly for a credit card originating with "Citibank."
 - Citibank had reported Plaintiff's account as delinquent by September 2001. 15.
 - 16. First Card Conv had reported Plaintiff's account as delinquent by May 2003.
- The entire ACTION was time-barred; as it was filed past the statute of 17. limitations.
- 18. Defendants obtained a default judgment against Plaintiff despite their knowledge that they had not served him with a copy of the summons and complaint in the ACTION.

- 19. Defendants garnished Plaintiff's wages despite their knowledge that they had not served him with a copy of the summons and complaint in the ACTION.
- 20. As a result of the conduct alleged above, Plaintiff suffered great stress and worry. Plaintiff was forced to incur attorney fees in connection with the ACTION.
- 21. Defendants submitted declarations and/or affidavits with false statements in support of their request for a default judgment.
 - As a result of the acts complained of above, Plaintiff suffered emotional distress. 22.

V. FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Against all Defendants for Violation of the FDCPA)

- Plaintiff repeats and realleges and incorporates by reference all of the foregoing 23. paragraphs.
- 24. Defendants violated the FDCPA. Defendants' violations include, but are not limited to, the following actions taken against the Plaintiff:
 - The Defendants violated 15 U.S.C. § 1692d by engaging in conduct (a) the natural consequence of which is to harass, oppress, or abuse Plaintiff in connection with the collection of the alleged debt;
 - The Defendants violated 15 U.S.C. § 1692e by using false, deceptive, (b) or misleading representations or means in connection with the collection of a debt;
 - The Defendants violated 15 U.S.C. § 1692e(2)(A) by giving the false (c) impression of the character, amount or legal status of the alleged debt;
 - Defendants violated 15 U.S.C. §1692e(2)(A) by misstating the status of (d) the debt as implying that the Defendant would prevail in the Action;
 - The Defendants violated 15 U.S.C. § 1692e(10) by using a false (e) representation or deceptive means to collect or attempt to collect any debt or to obtain information regarding a consumer;
 - The Defendants violated 15 U.S.C. § 1692(f) by using unfair or (f)

26

27

28

- unconscionable means to collect or attempt to collect a debt;
- The Defendants violated 15 U.S.C. § 1692(f)(1) by attempting to (g) collect an amount not authorized by the agreement that created the debt or permitted by law;
- 25. As a result of the above violations of the FDCPA, Defendants are liable to the Plaintiff for Plaintiff's actual damages, statutory damages, and attorney's fees and costs pursuant to 15 U.S.C. §1692k.

VI. SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Against all Defendants for Violation of the Rosenthal Act)

- Plaintiff repeats, realleges and incorporates by reference all of foregoing 26. paragraphs.
- Defendants violated the Rosenthal Act, by including but not limited to, the 27. following:
 - The Defendants violated California Civil Code §1788.15(a) by (a) collecting or attempting to collect a consumer debt by means of judicial proceeding when the Defendant knows that service of process, where essential to the jurisdiction over the debtor of his property, has not been legally effected;
 - The Defendants violated California Civil Code §1788.17 by failing to (b) comply with the FDCPA as alleged above;
- As a proximate result of Defendants' violations enumerated above, Plaintiff has 28. been damaged in amounts which are subject to proof.
- Defendants' violations of the Rosenthal Act were willful and knowing. 29. Defendants are therefore liable to Plaintiff for Plaintiff's actual damages, statutory damages, and attorney's fees and costs pursuant to California Civil Code §1788.30.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully requests that judgment be entered against Defendants and each of them for the following:

- A. Actual damages;
- B. Statutory damages pursuant to 15 U.S.C. §1692k and California Civil Code §1788.30(a).
- C. Costs and reasonable attorney's fees pursuant to 15 U.S.C. §1692k and California Civil Code §1788.30(b) and §1788.30(c)
- D. For such other and further relief as the Court may deem just and proper.

Date: 5/20/08

Jeremy 8. Golden Attorney for Plaintiff

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

Please take notice that Plaintiff demands trial by jury in this action.

Date: 5/20/08

Jeremy S Golden, Attorney for Plaintiff

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN DIEGO DIVISION

151173 - SR

May 21, 2008 15:28:55

Civ Fil Non-Pris

USAO #.: 08CV0905

Judge..: JEFFREY T MILLER

Amount.:

\$350.00 CK

Check#.: BC#1995

Total-> \$350.00

FROM: SIAL V. UNIFUND CCR PARTNERS

DOES 1-10 CIVIL FILING SJS 44 (Rev. 12/07)

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE FORM.)

the civil docket sheet. (SEE II	NSTRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE FORM.)				!-
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS			DEFENDANTS		
ASIF A. SIAL, an individ		UNIFUND CCR PARTNE®S; land DCESP14hrpugig10 inclusive;			
(h) a	Services Lined Plainties Previously San D.	,eyo	inclusive,	of First Listed Defendant	5 Justes Sur Deyo
	e of First Listed Plaintiff EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)		County of Residence of	of First Listed Defendant 6. 3. (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)	SOLATE CALIFORNIA
(E	ACEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIPP CASES)		NOTE: IN LAN	O CONDEMNATION CASES, US	JULI)
			LAND	INVOLVERY:	,
	•			ş1. V	DEPUTY
• • •	e, Address, and Telephone Number)	Attorneys (If Known)	8 CV U9 U5	JM CAB	
	N 228007)Law Offices of Eric F. Fagar		<u> </u>	18 CA 0202	טאט וווונ
	Chula Vista, CA 91914 Ph: 619-656-6			DINGIPAL BARRING	
II. BASIS OF JURISI	OICTION (Place an "X" in One Box Only)		TIZENSHIP OF P (For Diversity Cases Only)	RINCIPAL PARTIES	Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff and One Box for Defendant)
☐ 1 U.S. Government	■ 3 Federal Question		P'	rf def	PTF DEF
Plaintiff	(U.S. Government Not a Party)	Citize	en of This Staffe	 Incorporated or Pri of Business In This 	
5. 2 U.C.C	CI A. Dispute	O'ul-	6 A 44 84		Ţ.
□ 2 U.S. Government Defendant	Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Besties in Item III)	Citize	en of Another State	2	
	(Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)	Citiza	en or Subject of a	3	. 06 06
	·		reign Country	3 D 3 Foreign Nation	
	T (Place an "X" in One Box Only)				
CONTRACT	TORTS		DRFECTURE/PENALTY	BANKRUPTCY	OTHERSTATUTES
☐ 110 Insurance ☐ 120 Marine	PERSONAL INJURY PERSONAL INJUR □ 310 Airplane □ 362 Personal Injury		0 Agriculture 0 Other Food & Drug	☐ 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 ☐ 423 Withdrawal	☐ 400 State Reapportionment☐ 410 Antitrust
☐ 130 Miller Act	☐ 315 Airplane Product Med. Malpractic	æ □ 62	5 Drug Related Seizure	28 USC 157	430 Banks and Banking
 ☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument ☐ 150 Recovery of Overpayment 	Liability		of Property 21 USC 881 0 Liquor Laws	**************************************	☐ 450 Commerce ☐ 460 Deportation
& Enforcement of Judgment	Slander 368 Asbestos Persona	ฌ □ 64	0 R.R. & Truck	□ 820 Copyrights	☐ 470 Racketeer Influenced and
☐ 151 Medicare Act ☐ 152 Recovery of Defaulted	☐ 330 Federal Employers' Injury Product Liability Liability		Airline Regs. Occupational	☐ 830 Patent ☐ 840 Trademark	Corrupt Organizations 480 Consumer Credit
Student Loans	☐ 340 Marine PERSONAL PROPER	₹TY	Safety/Health		☐ 490 Cable/Sat TV
(Excl. Veterans) 153 Recovery of Overpayment	☐ 345 Marine Product ☐ 370 Other Fraud Liability ☐ 371 Truth in Lending		0 Other	SOCIAL DISEASURITAY	☐ 810 Selective Service ☐ 850 Securities/Commodities/
of Veteran's Benefits	☐ 350 Motor Vehicle ☐ 380 Other Personal	0 71	0 Fair Labor Standards	☐ 861 HIA (1395ff)	Exchange
☐ 160 Stockholders' Suits ☐ 190 Other Contract	☐ 355 Motor Vehicle Property Damage Product Liability ☐ 385 Property Damage		Act 0 Labor/Mgmt. Relations	☐ 862 Black Lung (923) ☐ 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g))	O 875 Customer Challenge 12 USC 3410
☐ 195 Contract Product Liability ☐ 196 Franchise		73	Labor/Mgmt.Reporting & Disclosure Act	☐ 864 SSID Title XVI ☐ 865 RSI (405(g))	☐ 890 Other Statutory Actions ☐ 891 Agricultural Acts
REAL PROPERTY	Injury ENVIRONMENT SERVICE SE	NS 🗆 74	0 Railway Labor Act	FEDERAL (AUSE)	☐ 891 Agricultural Acts ☐ 892 Economic Stabilization Act
☐ 210 Land Condemnation☐ 220 Foreclosure	☐ 441 Voting ☐ 510 Motions to Vacation 442 Employment ☐ Sentence		0 Other Labor Litigation 1 Empl. Ret. Inc.	☐ 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant)	☐ 893 Environmental Matters ☐ 894 Energy Allocation Act
☐ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment	☐ 443 Housing/ Habeas Corpus:	''	Security Act	☐ 871 IRS—Third Party	895 Freedom of Information
 240 Torts to Land 245 Tort Product Liability 	Accommodations		IMMIGRATION	26 USC 7609	Act 900Appeal of Fee Determination
290 All Other Real Property	☐ 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - ☐ 540 Mandamus & Ot	her 🗆 46	2 Naturalization Application	•	Under Equal Access
	Employment 550 Civil Rights 446 Amer. w/Disabilities - 555 Prison Condition		3 Habeas Corpus - Alien Detainee		to Justice 950 Constitutionality of
	Other		5 Other Immigration		State Statutes
	440 Other Civil Rights		Actions	· ·	
🗖 1 Original 🔲 2 R	an "X" in One Box Only) emoved from			ferred from	
<u> </u>	Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you a	are filing (·····	
VI. CAUSE OF ACTI	Brief description of cause:	115 01 111	e Fail Debt Collec	tions Fractices Act.	
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION UNDER F.R.C.P. 23	N D	EMAND \$	CHECK YES only JURY DEMAND:	if demanded in complaint:
VIII. RELATED CAS IF ANY	SE(S) (See instructions): JUDGE			DOCKET NUMBER	
DATE S	O SIGNATURE OF AT	TTORNEY	OF RECORD	11	
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY		//		<u> </u>	······································
RECEIPT# 15113 A	AMOUNT 300 APPLYING IFP		JUDGE_	MAG. JUI	OGE
51	21108 m				